ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE

| Madagal | Kammi | unications | Chromm | iccian |
|---------|-------|------------|--------|--------|
| Drurial | | aimaimis | Ahmm | |

In the matter of

Review of Pioneer's Preference Rules

RECEIVED

ET Docket No. 93-266

JUN 0 6 1994

FCC MAIL ROOM

REPLY OF CELSAT, INC.

CELSAT, INC., hereby respectfully replies to the comments of TRW, Inc. and American Personal Communications, the only two parties to file any response to CELSAT's Petition for Reconsideration or, Alternatively, Request for Clarification.

The obvious and understandable concern of American Personal Communications ("APC") is only that CELSAT's petition might have the effect, albeit unintended, of delaying final Commission resolution of other, unrelated issues raised in the same proceeding and which are now before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. To avoid any such effect, APC urges that CELSAT's question be resolved promptly. CELSAT could not agree more.

TRW, Inc. is the only party to "oppose" CELSAT's petition. It is less than clear, however, whether TRW opposes the narrow relief sought by CELSAT or whether TRW's only qualm concerns the prospect that CELSAT might be awarded a pioneer's preference. Specifically, CELSAT has requested that the Commission confirm that CELSAT's pending request for a pioneer's

No. of Copies rec'd O#S List ABCDE preference is eligible for consideration under the current set of preference rules as a member of the above 1 GHz MSS group of preference candidates, all of which have been addressed in ET Docket No. 92-18. It is CELSAT's position that while the Commission has tentatively denied a preference to all the other candidate members of this group, it has not yet issued any ruling, one way or the other, as to CELSAT's preference request. TRW appears at least to acknowledge this omission and agrees with CELSAT that the Commission should address CELSAT's lingering petition in the context of ET Docket No. 92-28 (although TRW would urge a different outcome on the merits).

Accordingly, there being no opposition whatsoever to the limited relief sought in the subject petition, CELSAT urges that the Commission grant the relief requested therein and confirm that merits of CELSAT pending pioneer's preference request shall be considered and ruled upon in the context of ET Docket No. 92-18 and under the existing preference rules.

Respectfully submitted

CELSAT, Inc

Victor J. Tot

Law Offices, Victor J. Toth, P.C. 2719 Soapstone Drive

Reston, VA 22091

(703) 476-5515

June 3, 1994

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing Reply of CELSAT, Inc. was served this date on the counsel listed below by depositing the same in the U.S. Mails, First Class postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

Norman P. Leventhal
Raul Rodriguez
Leventhal, Senter & Lerman
2000 K Street, NW
Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20006

Jonathan D. Blake
Ellen P. Goodman
Covington & Burling
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
P.O. Box 7566
Washington, D.C. 20044

victor s. Toth

June 3, 1994